

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**SCANNING TECHNOLOGIES
INNOVATIONS LLC,**

Plaintiff,

vs.

BOOMSET, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No: 1:23-cv-00248-CM

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Scanning Technologies Innovations, LLC (“Plaintiff” and/or “STI”) files this its First Amended Complaint against Boomset, Inc. (“Defendant” and/or “Boomset”) for infringement of United States Patent No. 10,600,101 (hereinafter “the ‘101 Patent”).

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a Florida limited liability company with its principal office located at 1 E. Broward Blvd., Ste. 700, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301.

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 305 Broadway, Ste. 1101, New York, NY 10007. On information and belief, Defendant may be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 80 State Street, Albany, NY 12207.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.* Plaintiff is seeking damages, as well as attorney fees and costs.

4. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents).

5. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District, has conducted business in this District, and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this District.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant's instrumentalities that are alleged herein to infringe were and continue to be used, imported, offered for sale, and/or sold in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) because Defendant is deemed to be a resident in this District. Alternatively, acts of infringement are occurring in this District and Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this District.

BACKGROUND

8. On March 24, 2020, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '101 Patent, titled "Systems and Methods for Indicating the Existence of Accessible Information Pertaining to Articles of Commerce." The '101 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

9. Plaintiff is currently the owner of the '101 Patent.

10. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the '101 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past, present and future infringement.

COUNT I **(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 10,600,101)**

11. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 10 herein by reference.

12. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States and, in particular, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

Defendant has knowledge of its infringement of the '101 Patent, at least as of the service

of the present complaint.

14. The ‘101 Patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims, including at least Claim 1, of the ‘101 Patent by manufacturing, using, importing, selling, offering for sale, and/or providing (as identified in the Claim Chart attached hereto as Exhibit B) its product which is an application for scanning the barcode which is present in the product to obtain decoded links that contain information about an article of commerce (e.g., to display information related to guest details when the barcode is scanned) (“Product”), and which infringes at least Claim 1 of the ‘101 Patent. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘101 patent either directly or through acts of contributory infringement or inducement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

16. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary ‘101 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

17. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim chart and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the ‘101 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the ‘101 Patent. See Exhibit B

(extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

19. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim chart, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the ‘101 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the ‘101 Patent.

20. Exhibit B includes at least one chart comparing the Exemplary ‘101 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in this chart, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘101 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in this chart satisfy all elements of the Exemplary ‘101 Patent Claims.

21. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim chart of Exhibit B.

22. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant’s infringement.

21. Defendant’s actions complained of herein will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this court.

22. Defendant’s actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendant is enjoined and restrained by this Court.

23. Plaintiff is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

JURY DEMAND

24. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks the Court to:

- (a) Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint on all causes of action asserted herein;
- (b) Enter an Order enjoining Defendant, its agents, officers, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant who receive notice of the order from further infringement of United States Patent No. 10,600,101 (or, in the alternative, awarding Plaintiff a running royalty from the time of judgment going forward);
- (c) Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendant's infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (d) Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs; and
- (e) Award Plaintiff such further relief to which the Court finds Plaintiff entitled under law or equity.

Dated: January 30, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Jay Johnson

JAY JOHNSON (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)

State Bar No. 24067322

D. BRADLEY KIZZIA

State Bar No. 11547550

KIZZIA JOHNSON, PLLC

1910 Pacific Ave., Suite 13000

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 451-0164

Fax: (214) 451-0165

jay@kjpllc.com

bkizzia@kjpllc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 30, 2023, I electronically filed the above document(s) with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF and emailed filing(s) to all known counsel of record.

/s/Jay Johnson

JAY JOHNSON